

David S. Haeg
P.O. Box 123
Soldotna, AK 99669
(907) 262-9249

Faxed to Aniak 8/17/06

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

STATE OF ALASKA)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 DAVID HAEG,) Case No.: 4MC-S04-024 Cr.
)
 Defendant.)
)
 _____)
 Appellate Court Case #A-09455.

MOTION FOR EXTENSION, MOTION TO RECALL
MR. OSTERMAN AS A WITNESS & MOTION FOR WRITTEN RULING
FROM MAGISTRATE WOODMANCY

I certify this document and its attachments do not contain the (1) name of victim of a sexual offense listed in AS 12.61.140 or (2) residence or business address or telephone number of a victim of or witness to any offense unless it is an address identifying the place of a crime or an address or telephone number in a transcript of a court proceeding and disclosure of the information was ordered by the court.

COMES NOW Defendant, DAVID HAEG, in the above referenced case, and hereby moves this court to extend the deadline on the written response to Mr. Osterman (Osterman) withdrawing to Monday August 21, 2006. Defendant traveled all day Wednesday August 16 - flying from McGrath to Anchorage and then driving from Anchorage to Soldotna. In light of the testimony, including that of Osterman, the defendant requests additional time to submit a written response. Defendant would also like to request he be allowed to recall Osterman as a witness so Osterman may be questioned orally while under oath - which Magistrate Woodmancy specifically instructed Haeg he could do. There are additional questions Haeg would like to ask Osterman while Osterman is under oath. Haeg's questioning of Osterman was cut short due to airline delays and Osterman's prior commitments. This prejudiced Haeg's constitutional right to compel witnesses in his favor and caused substantial prejudice to Haeg at the hearing of 8/15/06. Because of these facts Haeg requests to again call Osterman as a

witness so the questioning may be completed and requests Magistrate Woodmancy set a date and time for this hearing.

Haeg also requests Magistrate Woodmancy to document, in writing, his order requiring post-conviction defendant Haeg, who remains before this court because of his application to proceed pro se, to comply with all rules of form to address issues of substance that Haeg needs the court to address. In other words Haeg requests Magistrate Woodmancy to place in writing his order that he will not consider substance if there are defects of form in Haeg's motions, inquiries, evidence, objections, and/or requests.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this _____ day of _____, 2006.

Defendant,

David S. Haeg

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing (including signatures & dates) was served on Roger Rom, OSPA, by fax on _____, 2006

By: _____