

David S. Haeg
P.O. Box 123
Soldotna, AK 99669
(907) 262-9249

Created 9/5/06

IN THE DISTRICT/SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT IN FAIRBANKS

STATE OF ALASKA)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
David HAEG,) Case No.: 4MC-S04-024 Cr.
)
Defendant.)
)

Appellate Court Case #A-09455.

**MOTION TO INVESTIGATE & CORRECT MAGISTRATE WOODMANCY'S
ACTIONS & CONDUCT; MOTION TO ALLOW DEFENDANT TO REPRESENT
HIMSELF DURING REMAND; MOTION TO MAKE PUBLIC & SUPPLEMENT
THE RECORD WITH OFFICIAL ALASKA BAR ASSOCIATION PROCEEDINGS**

I certify this document and its attachments do not contain the (1) name of victim of a sexual offense listed in AS 12.61.140 or (2) residence or business address or telephone number of a victim of or witness to any offense unless it is an address identifying the place of a crime or an address or telephone number in a transcript of a court proceeding and disclosure of the information was ordered by the court.

COMES NOW Defendant, DAVID HAEG, in the above referenced case & hereby files the following motion to investigate & correct Magistrate Woodmancy's actions & conduct; motion to allow the defendant to represent himself during remand; motion to make public & supplement the record with official Alaska Bar Association proceedings in accordance with *Alaska Rules of Court/Rules of Professional Conduct/Alaska Bar Rule 40(r)*. Haeg's case was remanded back to the District Court from the Court of Appeals. On 8/15/06 Magistrate Woodmancy held a hearing during which he was going to accept argument, testimony, and evidence first on attorney Mark Osterman's motion to withdraw and then on Haeg's motion to proceed pro se. It was during and just after this hearing when many of Magistrate Woodmancy's actions took place of which Haeg is concerned. Magistrate Woodmancy

scheduled another hearing, in these matters, for 10/3/06 and Haeg would like to have a response to this motion well before then.

1. Haeg feels Magistrate Woodmancy actions & conduct in Haeg's case are very inappropriate, should be looked into & corrected. The actions & conduct, which is of great concern to Haeg, are outlined clearly in the motions filed with Magistrate Woodmancy, duplicates of which are attached to this motion.

2. Haeg must be allowed to represent himself during proceedings before Magistrate Woodmancy because Haeg's present counsel, Mark Osterman (Osterman), absolutely refuses to do so - to the point of hanging up the phone when Haeg asks him to do so. It is incomprehensible along with being a fundamental breakdown in justice to refuse a defendant to have any counsel whatsoever during judicial proceedings. At present this is exactly the position Haeg is placed in through the actions of Magistrate Woodmancy & attorney Osterman. Again the motions already filed with Magistrate Woodmancy, duplicates of which are attached to this motion, clearly outline Haeg's position & concerns.

3. The testimony & evidence presented during the Alaska Bar Association proceedings concerning Haeg's representation are absolutely vital to be made public & part of Haeg's record of Appellate Court Case #A-09455 and District Case # 4MC-S04-024 Cr if justice is to be served. The facts revealed during these proceedings are absolutely stunning. Once again Haeg's concerns are outlined very clearly in motions already filed with Magistrate Woodmancy, duplicates of which are included with this motion.

This motion is supported by the attached affidavits of David & Jackie Haeg.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this ____ day of _____, 2006.

Defendant,

David S. Haeg

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the
foregoing was served on Roger Rom,
OSPA, by first class mail on
_____, 2006

By: _____